Online 6.6 Peer-Review Rubric

Reviewer's Name:		_
Author's Name (include all if more than one):		
		_
Document Title:		_
Hour:	Date:	

Criteria	Not evidenced	Insufficient	Sufficient	Expected
Submitted <i>your</i> finished paper to your reviewers by the review day deadline.	0 points	2 points— Incomplete/not finished/or past deadline.	7 points— Fully complete paper in by the deadline, but supporting documents missing.	10 points— Fully complete paper in by the deadline with all supporting documents.
Provided meaningful feedback on data/research sufficiency.	0 points	2 points— Comments are superficial and do not provide true analysis.	4 points— Comments indicate correct analysis of the data/research.	5 points— Comments include specific suggestions, references to evidence for suggestions, and additional resources for consideration (from course materials).
Provided meaningful feedback on structure, organization, and clarity of points.	0 points	2 points— Comments are superficial and do not provide constructive feedback that can be used by authors.	4 points— Comments clearly identify potential problems with the structures and organization of the components.	5 points— Comments include specific suggestions for improving structure, order, and content.
Provided meaningful feedback on the logic, assumptions, and recommendations of the author(s).	0 points	2 points— Comments provided are not logical or incorrectly state assumptions.	4 points— Comments illustrate useful analysis of logic/assumptions and identify potential problems.	5 points— Comments include specific suggestions for improving/ resolving problems with logic/assumptions and help to restate recommendations that are better supported by the evidence.
Provided all comments in a positive, encouraging, and constructive manner.	0 points	2 points— Comments are neutral or not encouraging.	4 points— Comments include positive feedback and suggestions.	5 points— Comments praise specific strengths of the paper (and supporting documents) as well as constructively address weaknesses, with alternatives that might be considered.